

GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

'Kamat Towers', Seventh Floor, Patto, Panaji –Goa

Tel No. 0832-2437908/2437208 email: spio-gsic.goa@nic.in website:www.gsic.goa.gov.in

Appeal No.180/2020

Dr. (Ms.) Kalpana V. Kamat,
Caldeira Arcade, 1st Floor,
'B' Block, Bhute Bhat,
Vasco da Gama-Goa.
403802

.....Appellant

V/S

1. The Public Information Officer,
Govind Ramnath Kare College of Law,
Shree Damodar Educational Complex,
Comba, Margao Goa. 403601.

2. The First Appellate Authority,
Govind Ramnath Kare College of Law,
Shree Damodar Educational Complex,
Comba, Margao Goa. 403601.

.....Respondents

Shri. Vishwas R. Satarkar

State Chief Information Commissioner

Filed on: 27/10/2020

Decided on: 25/10/2021

ORDER

1. The Appellant, Dr. (Ms.) Kalpana V. Kamat, r/o Caldeira Arcade, 1st Floor, 'B', Block, Bhute Bhat, Vasco da Gama, Goa 403802, by her application dated 27/07/2020 filed under sec 6(1) of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (Act for short), sought inspection of documents before seeking the documents itself from files, from Public Information Officer (PIO), Controller of Examination, Examination Section-III, Goa University, Taleigao, Goa. She sought information on 13 points contained in the said application.
2. The said application was replied on 17/09/2020 by original PIO, Asst. Registrar Examination (PG) of Goa University by partly providing the information and transferred the remaining part of the application under sec 6(3) of the Act to the Principal, Kare College of Law at Margao Goa to supply the information on Point No. 4,5 and 8 to 13.

3. The Respondent No. 1, who is the PIO in this appeal, received the said application from PIO of Goa University and replied to the Appellant on 17/09/2020.

Not satisfied with the reply of the PIO, she preferred first appeal before the First Appellate Authority (FAA) and thereafter preferred this second appeal.

4. Parties were notified, pursuant to which neither of the Respondents appeared nor filed the reply in the matter.

5. The present appeal filed by the Appellant under sec 20 of the Act, however sec 20 of the Act deals with penalties.

6. I have perused the appeal memo and scrutinised the documents on record. It is seen that information sought by the Appellant was offered by PIO on 17/09/2020 and requested to collect the information upon payment of Rs. 150/-. However, reasons best known to Appellant, she did not collect the information till date by paying the requisite fee.

7. I hold that the information, as is available is being offered to be furnish and the remaining part cannot be ordered to be furnished being not available. The present appeal also suffers from serious infirmity in the body of pleadings and lack of prayer clause.

In the above given circumstances, I find no merit in appeal and hence the appeal is disposed off, being infructuous.

- Proceedings closed.
- Pronounced in the open court.
- Notify the parties.

Sd/-

(Vishwas R. Satarkar)

State Chief Information Commissioner